Modeling Physical-Chemical Properties of High Dilutions: an electrical conductivity study
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ABSTRACT

The most common way to perform succussions is to keep place a liquid preparation inside a glass vessel of glass, and shaking beat it violently against a hard elastic surface, either manually or using a mechanical apparatus, or beating it against a hard, but elastic surface in handmade work. This procedure has been interpreted assumed a mechanism able to transfer the mechanical energy input to the molecular level, where it becomes available to perform chemical work. Such interpretation has been stimulated enforced by observed changes in the electrical conductivity (EC) of High Dilutions (HD) due to the succussion procedure. In order to address this question, we compared the electrical conductivity changes of HD prepared from Vincristine sulfate (VCR) samples with those relative to the of an inert solvent. The samples were produced through manual and mechanical and handmade succusions, in order to observe the influence of bubbles production. The results confirmed the time timing of EC changes but these were equivalent for VCR and solvent, except for VCR 1cH samples. Also, the production of bubbles does not affect the EC in an extent able to distinguish succussion procedures. We concluded that the physical-chemical properties of HD can be can be modeled by chemical and diffusive mechanisms typical for of distilled water.
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Introduction

High Dilutions (HD) have been prepared all over the world through a biphasic serial process (named as dynamization) consisted consisting of by dilution and succussion shaking (shakingsuccussion). As the homeopathic therapy is the most successful application of HD, its Pharmacopeia was has been adopted by the HD scientific and technological community. The dilution generally is usually performed as on a decimal or centesimal scale (in per unit of volume) while succussion can be made by manual, mechanical, or handmade, vortex procedures, among others procedures [1-2].

The proposition of each one preference for the various succussionsuccussion methods is is based on cultural, technological, commercial or philosophical in reasoning. However, experimental models and clinical trials have been demonstrated the efficacy of all these procedures, validating the different succussion techniques [3-7].

The most common way to performmethod of succussions is to place a liquid preparation inside a glass vessel and beat it vigorously against a hard elastic surface, either manually or using a mechanical apparatus keep a liquid preparation inside a vessel of glass, shaking it violently using a mechanical apparatus, or beating it against a hard, but elastic surface. Succussions were initially proposed by Hahnemann [8], probably inspired on alchemist techniques [9]. Mechanical succussion had its origin probably originated during the on Industrial Revolution, with the desire to produce higher potencies faster, cheaper and more
standardized [10]. An important feature of mechanical succussion is the intense production of bubbles on in the liquid phase [11-12], when, as compared with those produced by handmade manual succussions. Physical chemistry reasoning leads us to conclude assume that bubbles increase the contact superficial area the surface area of and increase gasification, and thus, some in some way augment the chemical degradation process. However, no one knowisit is not known if and how this affects the physical structure and biological action of high dilutions [123-134]. Also, succussion procedure has been interpreted as a mechanism that allows transfer of the mechanical energy input all the way down to the molecular level, where it becomes available to perform chemical work [145-167].

Some papers have been published suggesting the existence of literature suggests unexpected physical chemistry properties and biological implications of high dilutions [178-256]) as well its biological implications, after dynamization procedure. Among these, one can stand out the Electrical conductivity measurement as is one technique able to observe the dependence changes on HD dependence on preparation protocols and aging [189-201, 234, 267].

The electrical conductivity of liquid samples measures its ability in to conducting electricity and is influenced by chemical species which tend to ionize in the solution. Therefore chemical degradation and diffusive mechanism diffusion play an important role in such the process. Also, aqueous systems have its own natural ionic species [278] others than outsider contaminants.

In this paper, we studied measured the electrical conductivity (EC) of handmade manually and mechanically succussedoting samples of highly diluted Vincristine sulfate (VCR), measuring theirs electrical conductivity (EC). The main objective was to verify quantify the EC dependence on time and potency in order to find a mathematical correlation, following as well the physical-chemical effects of different succussion procedures, aiming to propose some interpretationwith the hope of adding to our physical-chemical understanding of these procedures.

Material and Methods

The starting solution was prepared with Vincristine sulfate – VCR – (Zodiac®) on in purified water. VCR is a chemotherapeutic agent metabolized by the human organism body at 37 Celsius degree, despite its low storage temperature (-20°C) [289]. The samples were prepared and stored at room temperature. VCR was choose chosen due our interest in use it to treating neoplastic cell cultures with multidrug resistance (MDR)resistant to VCR, due the phenotype MDR – multidrug resistance [2930], following according an the principles of isothorapyic reasoning.

The starting solution consisted on of 1.0 mg/ml VCR diluted in 7.5ml of distilled water, placed in a 10 ml glasstest-tube. Centesimal hahnemannian Hahnemannian potencies were produced until to 15cH (potentized VCR). Mechanical succussions (Vm group) were performed with one hundred succussions (Denise 10-50, Autic®) during over 33 seconds (approximately 3 hertz), while handmade ones (Vh group) were performed with similar frequency. In order to register some eventual chemical effect of the VCR presence or systematic experimental errors on measurements, equivalent sets of control samples (1 to 15cH) were prepared with distilled water only, by mechanical (Wm) and handmade manual (Wh) succussions.

In order to reduce eventual chemical release and contaminations, It was used aambar borosilicate (USP type I) glasses test-tubes were used, composed mainly of silicon dioxide and boric oxide, with low levels of the non-network-forming oxides [301], in order to reduce eventual chemical release and contaminations [312]. Furthermore, all samples were analyzed in a differential way, comparing the active sample with its control prepared with solvent only, but processed at in the same waymanner. All glasses test-tubes and plastic stoppers were washed three times with distilled water (Millipore®) before the startinginitiating samples preparation. The glasses Test-tubes (10 ml) were filled up to 2/3 volume. After each measurement, the samples were thrown away back to glasses, in order to keep the volume ( I didn't understand this sentence, SRS). Any Samples were cultured/monitored?? for microbiological contamination was observed.

Electrical conductivity (EC) measurements were performed on all samples at 25°C with systematic calibration and temperature compensation using a Mettler-Toledo MPC 227 apparatus. Intrinsic experimental errors were 0.5%. The potencies 1cH and 4cH were selected to evaluate the extent of effects due to the presence of VCR molecules some ponderal (?) effect; 7, and 9cH tos examples of pre- keep before the Avogadro’s limits (mathematically)dilutions and 12 to 15cH, beyond it as post-Avogadro samples. The Vh and Vm groups were formed only by potencies at or n above 4cH for n ≥ 4, excluding samples VCR 1cH. The data from the samples were collected immediately after sample preparation (time zero) and after 7, 14, 21 and 35 days. The glasses samples were kept on at rest in the intervals and no succussions were performed before measurements. Each sample was measured 4 (four) times to evaluate the averaged value and standard deviation.

Results
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Figures 1 to 4 shows the EC time dependence, for VCR and water (control) samples. All electrical conductivity values are on μS/cm and time in days. The data are presented as averaged value and standard deviation.

Figure 1: Water control samples – mechanical succussions (Wm). Averaged curves with standard deviation bars are shown for all potencies (n cH). The bold line is the averaged curve over all potencies.

Figure 2: Water control samples – handmade succussions (Wh). Averaged curves with standard deviation bars are shown for all potencies (n cH). The bold line is the averaged curve over all potencies.

Figure 3: VCR samples – mechanical succussions (Vm). Averaged curves with standard deviation bars are shown for all potencies (n cH). The bold line is the averaged curve over all potencies, except 1cH (n ≥ 4).

Figure 4: VCR samples – handmade succussions (Vh). Averaged curves with standard deviation bars are shown for all potencies (n cH). The bold line is the averaged curve over all potencies, except 1cH (n ≥ 4).

Analyses

Observing figures 1 to 4, some common aspects (except for VCR 1cH curves) can be noted: a time dependent increase similar for all potencies and a common starting EC value. Regarding 1cH samples, the EC time evolution shows two behaviors. The first, up to 7th day, shows a decrease in EC values (from 24 to 18 μS/cm), followed by a slowly gradual increase. The initial decrease can probably be attributed to a chemical degradation. As comment noted earlier, VCR must be stored at -20°C, despite its clinical use at 37°C. The samples were prepared and stored, during the measurement period, at room temperature (about 25°C).

A second effect can may be attributed to distilled water dynamics, as will be discussed latter. From 7th to 35th day one can observe a slight increasing on in EC, similar for to those observed to Vm and...
Vh groups, as well to water control (Wm and Wh). However, these curves will not be interpreted in this article. They were collected only to verify up to what extent the presence of VCR molecules affects the EC. One can realize from figures 3 and 4 that for VCR 4eH and higher, EC results reveal a non-measurable presence of VCR molecules and theirs derivatives.

Let’s starting the main discussion from the control groups (Wm and Wh), shown in figures 1 and 2. All curves show the same time dependence: an initial fast rapid increase of EC up to day 7th, starting from EC value about 6.0 μS/cm, followed by an asymptotical convergence, similar for all potencies (within standard deviations) and independent from of the performed succussion technique. Thus, one can calculate an averaged curve representative of Wm and Wh groups (figure 5).

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.png)

**Figure 5** – Wm and Wh data. Experimental points (average and standard deviation) are shown in squares (Wm) and circles (Wh). The averaged curve is shown (triangles as dotted). The bold curve is the logarithm fitting (see details in text and table 1). The fitted function and determination coefficient ($R^2$) are also shown in the box.

Wm and Wh groups have similar behaviors, despite the different succussion procedures and, as well the potencies. Thus, one can assume that this is the behavior for the control dynamized distilled water. The EC values for distilled water used on preparations, while kept at rest, were (2.76 ± 0.16) μS/cm, typical of values for distilled water [1]. Accordingly, according to figure 5, the initial value for dynamized water (EC for $t = 0$) was about 6.5 μS/cm. This value means that the dynamization procedure, in special, particularly the succussion-succussion, alters the physical-chemical properties of water, probably due to gasification and bubbling.

The time dependence can be attributed to a typical water dynamics [278,3332] as distilled water is a non-equilibrium system. The natural and more stable water state is reached by the equilibrium of molecular species, ionic contaminants, dissolved gases and solutes, aerosols, and silica among others. Substances. As the samples were prepared using special glasses test-tubes and distilled water, the molecular dynamics might be driven mainly by the intrinsic water ions formation and dissolved gases and aerosols. Ions formation and other chemical reactions generally are very fast rapid processes, while gasification or diffusive mechanisms are slower. Wm and Wh groups exhibited similar behaviors for all measured time and for all potencies, indicating that if gasification really happens, it might be important only during the first hours after succussions, but would not strongly influence strongly the chemical stabilization of the solutions. One can visualize this fast rapid dynamic period in figure 5: up to the first four days after succussion, s is performed the EC values change significantly. After 35 days the system reach an EC values about 14.4 μS/cm, an intermediated value between fresh and aged distilled water [334-345].

The EC time dependence of Wm and Wh groups (figure 5) suggests a fast rapid dynamic in the first 7 days, followed by a slowly convergence to a stable value (aged water). Such behavior can be fitted approximated by many mathematical functions. One convenient function can be proposed from the natural logarithm, defined as

$$\chi(t) = A + B \ln(t + t_c) \quad (eq. \ 1)$$

where the coefficients A, B and $t_c$ can be adjusted numerically. Physically, this function describes an increasing logarithmic behavior weighted by B, time shifted by a factor $t_c$ with a baseline given by A.

The fitting of such this function to experimental data is shown in figure 5 and the fitted coefficients are reported in table 1.
For VCR groups, the analyses can be done in two steps: one related to 1cH samples only and the other, to all others potencies. Figures 3 and 4 refer to VCR groups (Vm and Vh). At a first glance, one can may note that, except for 1cH samples, the EC time dependence is similar for all potencies and are independent from the succussion procedure. The exception for of 1cH samples can be understood by the presence of molecules of VCR (10,.0 µg/ml), able to affect the EC values. For 4cH samples, the concentration reaches a mathematical value of 1,.0 ng/ml, and the EC remains similar for all higher potencies (within experimental deviations). Thus, we assumed that after 4cH the EC time dependence is governed only by solvent effects others than the presence of VCR molecules or theirs derivatives. These curves can be analyzed through averaged curves (figure 6) as well fitted by a logarithm function, as reported in table 2:

Table 1: logarithm fitting for water groups (averaged curve)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>χ (t) = A + B ln(t+t_r)</th>
<th>Numerical fitting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.72 [μS/cm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.88 [μS/cm.day]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_r</td>
<td>0.53 [day]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r²</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparing both fitted curves (figure 7) as well theirs fitted parameters (tables 1 and 2) one can note that they show the same general behavior, meaning the chemical process involved might be similar for both groups. However, one can note that VCR groups show a slower convergence (smaller B
expected smaller values for EC because some (neutralized) species contributes no more for EC changes. One must observe keep in mind that the samples preparation from 1cH to 15cH spends requires some time in itself from 1cH to 15cH. Further, considering the EC changes occurs by different chemical channels, the elimination of some of them can reduces, but not eliminates at all, the EC temporal changes.

Mathematical Modeling

The EC time dependence showed in figure 7 and fitted by equation 1 by values reported on table 1 and 2 suggests a slow chemical process, probably due to gasification or diffusion.

Let’s consider a general chemical reaction (eq. 2) where some reagents (R_i, i=1,L) produce two sets of products (P_j, j=1,N) and (P_k, j=1,M) in such way that only products P_k are involved in the electrical conductivity time dependence.

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{L} c_i R_i \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{M} c_j P_j + \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k P_k \]  

(eq.2)

For simplicity, all products P_k will be treated as one and the effective molar concentration will be named as [P]. As the chemical reaction proceeds, [P] changes in over time. Let’s suppose that such time dependence can be described by a power law (eq. 3)

\[ [P](t) = P_0 \alpha(t) (t + t_0)^c \]  

(eq.3)

where [P](t) is the [P] value at the instant t (mol/l), t is the time (day), P_0 is the [P] for t = 0, \alpha(t) is a function related to the reaction speed (day^{-1}), c is the exponent that governs the [P] time dependence (reaction order) and t_0 is a reference time indicating that the reaction has already started before t=0 (day).

The use of a power law for fitting is convenient because by adjusting the exponent c one can model different time dependences as described in table 3.

Table 3 – Time dependence accordingly exponent c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c value</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c &lt; 0</td>
<td>[P] decrease in time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c = 0</td>
<td>[P] is constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 &lt; c &lt; 1</td>
<td>[P] increase accordingly a dissipative dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c = 1</td>
<td>[P] increase linearly in time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c &gt; 1</td>
<td>[P] increase accordingly a cooperative dynamics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assumption of speed reaction time dependent [\alpha(t)] is important because as t→∞ the reaction must stop (dynamical equilibrium) due to the...
consumption of reagents or inversion in the reaction direction. However, as we have measured the EC time dependence only during the first 35 days, and figure 7 indicates that the reaction continues for longer larger times, we will assume that α(t) is a constant, named only as α.

So, eq. 3 can be rewrite as

\[ [P](t) = P_0 \alpha (t + t_0)^c \]  

(eq. 4)

The values of \( P_0 \), \( \alpha \), \( t_0 \) and \( c \) can be determined by fitting with averaged experimental values (figure 7). As the obtained experimental data refers to EC and \([P]\) as well as \( \chi \) to EC and \([P]\) as proposed in eq. 5 involves relative quantities to simplification and dimensional adjustment.

Starting from eq. 4, one can calculate the EC time dependence as below:

\[ \frac{[P](t)}{P_0} = \alpha (t + t_0)^c \]

\[ \ln \left( \frac{[P](t)}{P_0} \right) = \ln \alpha (t + t_0)^c \]

\[ \ln \left( \frac{[P](t)}{P_0} \right) = \ln \alpha + c \ln(t + t_0) \]

The left term can be substituted accordingly eq. 5 to obtain

\[ \frac{\chi(t)}{\chi_0} = \ln \alpha + c \ln(t + t_0) \]

Finally we can state,

\[ \chi(t) = \chi_0 \ln \alpha + \chi_0 c \ln(t + t_0) \]  

(eq. 6)

Equation 6 has the same mathematical structure as the eq. 1, used to fit experimental data. Comparing both equations we can identify parameters \( A \), \( B \) and \( t_r \) as:

\[ A = \chi_0 \ln \alpha \]  

(eq. 8)

\[ B = \chi_0 c \]  

(eq. 9)

\[ t_r = t_0 \]  

(eq. 10)

### Numerical Fittings

In order to compare eqs. 8 to 10 with numerical data reported on in tables 1 and 2, one first needs to determine \( \chi_0 \) values for water (W) and VCR (V) averaged curves. These can be calculated from data on tables 1 and 2, imposing \( t=0 \) in eq. 1. Thus one obtains \( \chi_0 = 6.5 \) and 6.1 μS/cm for water and VCR groups, respectively. Then, the parameters \( A \), \( B \) and \( t_r \) can be calculated, as reported on table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>VCR</th>
<th>average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha ) (day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_0 ) (day)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values reported on table 4 indicate that the chemical temporal dynamics for water and VCR are similar. As discussed before, the differences observed on figure 7 might be explained as a consequence of the initial VCR degradation, while the samples were being prepared or in the first hours after preparation. The similar values reported on table 4 come to reinforce this hypothesis. So, it is convenient calculate the averaged values for these parameters.

The parameter \( c \) reveals a dissipative dynamics where the continuous formation of the product \( P_k \) inhibits the chemical reaction and thus, the increase of the EC. From eq. 4 and table 4 one can determine the relative molar concentration \( (M_p) \) as well its formation speed \( (S_p) \) as given below:

\[ M_p(t) = \frac{[P](t)}{P_0} = 3.30(t + 0.52)^{0.287} \]

\[ S_p(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{[P](t)}{P_0} \right) = 0.95(t + 0.52)^{-0.71} \]

\( M_p(t) \) and \( S_p(t) \) can be visualized on in figure 8.
These differences could be explained by chemical effects in the first days after samples preparation. Such a hypothesis was reinforced by the calculation of the model parameter, reported on table 4, similarly valued for both groups of samples, as well for the .

Further the similarity between for of VCR and control samples, in all potencies (n cH) showed similar results too.

The mathematical modeling required requires the assumption of a relationship between EC and [P] (eq. 5). Despite its similarity with the concept of pH concepts, this assumption must be harder studied further to be confirmed. The use of a power law to fit EC time dependence is not critical, because this function can describe lotmany of natural phenomena and the parameters were adjusted from experimental data, getting obtaining a correlation factor almost unitary (see tables 1 and 2).

The main conclusion is that water shows a slow dynamics, probably driven mainly by diffusive mechanisms without relation with any special property of the dynamization procedure, rather beyond than mechanical agitation. Memory effect can be understood as a time shift in such dynamics. Bubbling represents an important process only when some an instable substance is present. For samples prepared with distilled water only, no difference was observed between 1cH and higher potencies. However, all potencies for both groups showed an increase of EC even at the first measurements, when compared with distilled water kept in at rest. It Our findings are is in agreement with the well known feature fact that the distilled water is an out-of-equilibrium state and naturally goes to a maximum entropy state, especially when perturbed by bubbling and mechanical agitation.

All these conclusions are strongly limited to electrical conductivity measurements. No biological implication can be extracted directly from these results, unless some until further biological experiments are done performed, in order to correlate EC with biological effects [356].

Despite the findings reported in this article indicate that dynamized Vinceristine is not different from water (in terms of EC), it does not means that HDs do not have biological action. One cannot invalidate the biological activity evidences based on isolated EC results or any other physical-chemical parameter. HD biological activity is not well understood and some evidences seem to indicate that a biological sensor is required to observe the responses. If this hypothesis is true, non-biological studies should be secondary to characterize a HD. Much research work is required to clear this point.
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